

The New Inquiries Act 2024 for Gibraltar

INFERENCE

On the premise that the Inquiries Act 2005 (UK) represents good practice for Gibraltar to follow, the Chief Minister Fabian Picardo has proposed a new Inquiries Act 2024 for Gibraltar. Notwithstanding the timing of the proposal, with reference to the start date of the McGrail Inquiry, such a premise is fundamentally flawed since The Inquiries Act 2005 has been deployed by various Labour and Conservative UK governments to provide the means to perpetrate and then cover-up acts of police-state-sponsored terrorism. The starkest and most obvious example of such internal tyranny would be the case of the 7/7 London Bombings which took place in London on 7th July 2005. There are other major incidents which could be cited to demonstrate that The UK Inquiries Act 2005 is more about enabling government secrecy to prevail to cover-up government malpractice and keep the increasingly distrusting general public in the dark.

PREMISES

- On 7th July 2005, London was subjected to four separate terror attacks, commonly referred to as the 7/7 London Bombings. The subsequent government narrative was that four Islamic Terrorists were responsible for the attacks, blowing themselves up and taking the lives of 52 others in the process, in three different underground tube stations and on a London Transport double-decker bus in Tavistock Square. Guilt has never been proven in any court of law.
- Royal Assent was given to the UK Inquiries Act on 7th April 2005 three months before the attacks. The Bill itself was enacted on 7th June 2005, exactly one month before the attacks.
- On the BBC Panorama Programme on 16th May 2004, the UK population were warned on prime time TV that a terror attack would happen, not could happen. The specifics of the mock terror attack scenario were described in minute detail during a televised mock terror drill exercise. The opening chapter of the 7/7 Ripple Effect documentary provides invaluable insight on precisely what was being planned in advance by the then Labour Government, under former Prime Minister Tony Blair, with Home Secretary David Blunkett from Sheffield, featuring prominently in the programme.

Watch 7/7 Ripple Effect 3: <https://jforjustice.net/77re3.html>

- The similarity of the scenarios shown in the BBC Panorama Programme's four co-ordinated mock terror incidents on 16th May 2004, and the fateful events which followed on 7th July 2005 were almost identical, in fact. In common was the fact that three London Underground Tube trains, and an overground location would be targeted for the drills and the actual attacks.
- On the 7th July 2005, crisis management exercises were running in London which were again operating under an almost identical scenario to the actual four bombing locations subjected to the co-ordinated terrorist attacks.
- Related terror incidents occurred in Sheffield, Nottingham and Gleneagles, and there were further terror incidents on 21st July 2005, culminating in the brutal police state assassination of innocent Jean Charles De Menezes at Stockwell Tube Station on 22nd July 2005.
- On the tenth anniversary of the London Bombings, we learned the following from the former head of the Counter Terrorism Command at Scotland Yard between 2002 and 2008, Peter Clarke:
 - *"I spent the weekend before the London bombings of July 7 2005 with my colleagues in the anti-terrorism branch, working through our response to the most difficult scenario we could think of. The one we came up with was multiple simultaneous attacks on the Tube. Four days later, our musings became a dreadful reality."*
- The reason why the UK government were able to predict the terror event in such minute detail and with such precision is because they themselves were undoubtedly planning it.
- 7/7 was a false-flag terror attack in furtherance of a foreign policy agenda in the middle east under Tony Blair's leadership. The timely introduction of the Inquiry Act 2005, a month prior to the planned attacks, thereafter enabled the Government to escape appropriate scrutiny and put in place the mechanisms needed in order to dupe the general public, spread fear with false narratives, as occurred in the Covid-Plandemic, and cover-up their murderous treasonous act of internal tyranny, long afterwards.
- On the fifth anniversary of The London Bombings on 7th July 2010, when tasked with a work related assignment concerned with assessing the UK terror threat, a former Principal Intelligence Analyst from South Yorkshire Police, alerted his bosses to the fact that 7/7 London Bombings were false-flag events. The employee saw his role as an analyst not a spin doctor, and so immediately, he was placed on gardening leave and thereafter dismissed from the police service after seventeen years of exemplary service. There were no allegations of any misconduct. Senior Command Team said that the employee had made an enormous contribution to the force.
- In dismissing the employee in question, senior managers candidly declared that his beliefs about the 7/7 London Bombings could be correct. In dismissing the employee, some very senior managers in the police even thanked him for making such a stance.

- That employee/whistleblower was named Tony Farrell, the author of this short briefing paper.
- Heather Hallett presided over the 7/7 Inquests which ran from 11th October 2010 until 6th May 2011. The many fundamental problems associated with the 7/7 inquests are well-documented elsewhere, but suffice to say, with The Inquiries Act (2005) firmly in place, the 7/7 Inquests prevented the truth about 7/7 from surfacing.
- During the 7/7 Inquests the film-maker of the 7/7 Ripple Effect was unLAWfully incarcerated, to prevent him from attending the inquests, and giving the bereaved and injured people and families the truth, that the 7/7/2005 London Bombings were a false flag event, carried out NOT by four Muslim patsies, but by Mossad in collusion with the British Government. He was released the day after the 7/7 Inquest was concluded, as proof of this fact.
- It should be noted that Heather Hallett was subsequently selected to preside over the UK Covid-19 Inquiry which began on 28 June 2022 and is ongoing. The UK Inquiries Act 2005 enables a UK government to fully control the narrative and cover-up their own crimes.
- Chief Minister Fabian Picardo is misguided in offering up the legislation as a benchmark for Gibraltar to follow unless of course he was to be as corrupt as successive UK governments have been for the last 40 years. The UK Inquiries Act 2005 is purposely designed to protect not prevent internal tyranny.
- The strong emerging resistance to the proposed Bill coming from the opposition GSD Party, and Ian McGrail's legal team is to be welcomed. The issue has also been covered in terms critical of the Government, by the UK Guardian, and Transparency International UK. There has been calls to include guarantees in the upcoming public inquiry bill to ensure that it cannot be used to interfere with the McGrail Inquiry. Robert Vasquez has also provided insightful analysis which again is critical of the Chief Minister's position. In what seems to be a sea-of change, The GBC have recently posed some seriously searching questions about the new proposals to the Chief Minister. These challenges should be welcome, rather than be ridiculed.
- For my part, my concern is largely limited to the Chief Minister's propensity to hold up the UK Inquiries Act as good practice and promote it as a basis for modernising Inquiry practice in Gibraltar. The patterns of behaviour in the UK in 2005, immediately following its introduction, would suggest the legislation is anything but good practice to follow. The Inquiries Act facilitates secrecy.

“The very word secrecy is repugnant in a free and open society; and we are as a people inherently and historically opposed to secret societies; to secret oaths; and to secret proceedings.”

JFK 27th April 1961

- When Sir Peter Openshaw was appointed as the Commissioner to The Inquiry, on 15th April 2022, thirty-three years after one of the most widely acknowledged cover-ups in UK history, I submitted a detailed report to the Inquiry team and interested parties questioning the suitability of the former judge, motivated initially from knowing in great detail, precisely what got covered-up regarding the Hillsborough Catastrophe in 1989, by both government and by the the police and judiciary.
- Watch the Hillsborough Ripple Effect Documentary: <https://jforjustice.net/thre.html>
- When Sir Peter Openshaw put out a call for evidence, I submitted a second report to The Inquiry Team, questioning the nature of this particular decision.
- Upon my arrival in Gibraltar in July 2018, as a former whistleblower, I went to extraordinary lengths to alert the Royal Gibraltar Police to the police state tyranny occurring in the UK. At the time, the Manchester Arena Bombings of 22nd May 2017 were featuring in social media posts from senior members of The Royal Gibraltar Police. I did not want rogue entities such as SO15 to bring an act of internal tyranny to The Rock of Gibraltar, so in the spirit of Project Servator I was trying to help in my communications with the police.
- In short, unless repugnant secrecy is the order of the day in Gibraltar, then modelling Gibraltar on the UK Inquiries Act 2005 and subjecting The McGrail Inquiry to its Government enhanced powers, is to be resisted to the utmost.
- The proposed Inquiries Act 2024 would most likely be used to control and stop the COVID Deaths and Injuries Inquiry, to prevent the truth from being revealed and cover-up the government's crimes against humanity and democide/genocide.
- I would be willing to explain why, if asked to elaborate further given my somewhat unusual and unique background both in the UK police service, and Home Office, and in more recent times in Gibraltar. This is just the tip of the iceberg.

Tony Farrell

21st March 2024