

GIBRALTAR'S GAMBLE WITH 5G

The full report is available online at gibraltarmessenger.net

Introduction – The Battle with Safety Standards	Pages 2-3
SECTION 1 – ICNIRP versus The CHALLENGERS	Pages 4-18
SECTION 2 – IEEE versus The CHALLENGERS	Pages 19-28
SECTION 3 – SCENIHR versus The CHALLENGERS	Pages 29-33
SECTION 4 – PHE versus The CHALLENGERS	Pages 34-49
SECTION 5 – WHO versus The CHALLENGERS	Pages 50-62
SECTION 6 – GIBRALTAR: Welcome to the 5G Trials	Pages 63-77
SECTION 7 – GIBRALTARIANS: Welcome to the 5G Human Trials	Pages 78-95

SECTION 3– SCENIHR versus The CHALLENGERS

The Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks (SCENIHR) is one of the independent scientific committees managed by the Directorate-General for Health and Consumer Protection of the European Commission, which provides scientific advice to the Commission on issues related to consumer products. It is an official advisory group for the European Union.

Section 3 Topics Include but are not limited to these subjects –

SCENHIR Opinion
 SCENHIR Involvement with the 5G Rollout
 EU 5G Action Plan

SCENHIR Under Fire:

- 1 Accusations that SCENHIR studies include omissions and falsehoods
- 2 How non-thermal effects can influence human biology
- 3 Accusations scientific misconduct, skirting issues and underplaying evidence
- 4 EU – Welcome to the 5G Human Trials
- 5 Independent Scientist 5G Appeal rejected

The European Commission - Scientific Committee on Emerging Newly Identified Health Risks (SCENIHR)

The Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks (SCENIHR) is one of the independent scientific committees managed by the Directorate-General for Health and Consumer Protection of the European Commission, which provide scientific advice to the Commission on issues related to consumer products. The committee was set up to provide opinions on Emerging and Newly-Identified Health and environmental risks on a number of broad topics, which include physical hazards like Electromagnetic Fields; fertility reduction, cancer of endocrine organs. NOTE: as of 2016, SCENIHR was succeeded by the Scientific Committee on Health, Environmental and Emerging Risks (SCHEER).

SCENIHR { pronounced - sen-nir } finalized its [opinion](#) on *Potential health effects of exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF)* formally adopted in January 2015. EMF.org has in it [brief](#).

Want to take a guess as to what SCENIHR found? That's right – a whole lot of **NADA**.

SCENIHR's OPINION:

Overall, existing studies do not provide convincing evidence for a causal relationship between ELF MF exposure and self-reported symptoms...

It shouldn't be a surprise that SCENIHR's opinion about EMF exposure matches ICNIRP's – the evidence is not convincing; and study methods are flawed in one way or another.

Because the pro-industry SCENIHR and ICNIRP guidelines maintain status quo, they allow for economic growth, which is why governments choose to rely on them.

SCENIHR cleared the way for the EU to rollout 5G.

5G will be the backbone of our digital economies and societies worldwide. This is why we strongly support and seek a global consensus and cooperation on 5G. – [wrote](#) Günther H. Oettinger, European Commissioner for Digital Economy and Society.

It also should be no surprise the European Commission [echoes](#) SCENIHR in its final opinion on EMF.

The results of current scientific research show that there are no evident adverse health effects if exposure remains below the levels recommended by the EU legislation.

And because standards are based on the perpetual thermal paradigm, it's business as usual. SCENIHR's opinion gave the EU "permission" to [operate](#) as normal and rollout 5G like it did with previous generations. Any precautions on public health issues would remain as with previous generations.

The 5G Infrastructure Public Private Partnership (**5G PPP**), which is a joint initiative between the European Commission and European ICT industry (ICT manufacturers, telecommunications operators, service providers, SMEs and researcher Institutions), continued with its 5G Rollout Plan.

The EU had implemented its [5G Action Plan](#); and through its 5G-Public Private Partnership (**5G-PPP**), it progressed with their marketing strategies like those found in the [5G Deployment: State of Play in Europe, USA and Asia](#).

It's about so-called economic growth.



Democratic nations refer constantly to the state of their economies, which again is one of Satan their master's deceptions and lies. The TRUTH is that they are actually the opposite of democracies and economies and are, in reality, total extravagances. There is absolutely nothing economic or conservative about a consumer, throw-it-away, society and the two things, conserve and consume, are a contradiction in terms and impossible to reconcile.

It is this modern-day, insanely insatiable, consumerism (of the spice Melange) that is poisoning and thereby bringing sickness and death to the Earth; its environment and YOU all. – wrote JAH in [Dune Gibraltar](#)

SCENIHR UNDER FIRE:

As one might expect, SCENIHR is under fire for many of the same reasons ICNIRP is.

Omissions, Falsehoods and False Logic:



Dr. Martin Pall found multiple flaws in the 2015 SCENIHR review – SCENIHR neither cited nor discussed 20 studies documenting biological effects of EMFs, and ignored important findings in other studies they did cite.

In [5G: Great risk for EU, U.S. and International Health! Compelling Evidence for Eight Distinct Types of Great Harm Caused by Electromagnetic Field \(EMF\) Exposures and the Mechanism that Causes Them](#), Pall wrote:

The scientific consensus of independent scientists based on information accumulated over the last seven decades is just **the opposite** of what each of them states (referring to SCENIHR authors)... their statements repeatedly omit much, often all of the important science. Their statements are rife with omissions, falsehoods and false logic.

What is clear is that SCENIHR is wittingly or unwittingly serving as a propagandist for the industry in and that process, SCENIHR has no difficulty in putting forth seven obvious, individually important **falsehoods**.

He reviews **eight patho-physiological effects** caused by non-thermal microwave frequency EMF exposures, which have massive literature providing high levels of scientific certainty:

- 1 – Cellular DNA damage
- 2 – Infertility
- 3 – Neurological/ neuropsychiatric effects,
- 4 – Cell death
- 5 – Oxidative stress/free radical damage
- 6 – Widespread endocrine effects
- 7 – Increases in intracellular calcium
- 8 – Cancer causation

These 8 patho-physiological effects are proof harm occurs.

While the BioInitiative [Report](#) is a good counter to ICNIRP's official report. Dr. Pall's [report](#) is a good counter to SCENIHR's report. Pall's report would be particularly useful for those in the medical industry. Share it with them.

What Is Oxidative Stress?

- oxidative stress is well known to be involved in the pathogenesis of lifestyle-related diseases.
- Oxidative stress has been defined as harmful because oxygen free radicals attack biological molecules such as lipids, proteins, and DNA.
- However, oxidative stress also has a useful role in physiologic adaptation and in the regulation of intracellular signal transduction.
- Therefore, a more useful definition of oxidative stress may be:

“a state where oxidative forces exceed the antioxidant systems due to loss of the balance between them.”

Share these too –

[The Way home of face The Fire](#)

[JAHTruth.net](#)

[The King of kings' Bible](#)

A great puzzle:

- These EMFs are composed of low energy photons, with energy per photon too low to influence the chemistry of the body!
- How can they influence our biology through non-thermal effects?
- Safety standards assume that they can't - that only thermal effects need to be considered: If no thermal effects there cannot be biological effects.
- And yet, there are thousands of papers in the scientific literature reporting biological effects of exposures well within safety standards!
- Solution: EMF effects shown in 26 studies to be blocked by calcium channel blockers – drugs specific for blocking voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCCs). When the VGCCs are activated, they open up a channel that allows calcium (Ca²⁺) to flow into the cell.
- Electromagnetic fields act via activation of voltage-gated calcium channels to produce beneficial or adverse effects. Pall ML. J Cell Mol Med. 2013 Aug;17(8):958-65.

In 2015, Dr. Pall gave a [presentation](#) (1.25 hour) on the harmful effects that do occur with EMF radiation. He started his talk with a reference to the **GREAT PUZZLE** as illustrated in one of his slides.

How can they influence our biology through non-thermal effects?

The current safety standards assume harm can't occur if there's no biological heating; but thousands of studies in scientific literature say that cannot be true, Pall explains.

He explained how EMF exposures act via activation of voltage-gated calcium channels; and how that activation leads to many adverse effects.

Scientific Misconduct:


According to [article](#), WHO and SCENIHR: *Scientific Cover-up at the Highest Levels*, Susan Foster and Eileen Connor, UK's Radiation Research Trust, have accused SCENIHR of **scientific misconduct**.

Foster addresses the acting SCENIHR chairman, John Ryan:

No fewer than 500 million citizens of the European Commission are relying on SCENIHR for review of the current EMF standards, which have already been criticized as being set more than a thousand times higher than the level at which adverse biological impacts occur.

When standards are set this high, it allows the manufacturers to falsely yet legally assure consumers, "There is no health problem or safety concern as the level of exposure is 1/1000th of what is allowable."

Thus there is the **promise of safety, which is only an illusion** because the so-called *safety standards* are set capriciously high to begin with. There is no legitimate, independent science that will declare the current standards are safe. Absolutely none.



Jan. 2020 – Six Italian Courts have ruled cellphones can cause cancer acoustic neuroma.

In the letter, she further detailed how SCENIHR fraudulently assesses existing science, in particular by ignoring Lennart Hardell's studies linking RF to cancer. The IARC used Hardell Group studies when classifying all EMF within the RF Spectrum as a 2B possible carcinogen. Hardell actually calls for RF-EMF radiation to be classified in the Group 1 human carcinogen category.

She further wrote: When another **highly criticized study** was sent to SCENIHR, including two reports that were published during the same time or even after the Hardell studies, it **was included** in the SCENIHR Preliminary Report. This study failed to find a link between cell phones and cancer, and when it initially **did find a link between cell phones and acoustic neuroma**, the authors **failed to discuss it in the Abstract**. The

systematic inclusion of poor quality science with industry backing, and the systemic exclusion of Hardell's science, **allowed SCENIHR to come to an erroneous conclusion** that evidence of glioma is weaker now than in 2009. This sort of 'cherry-picking' favors industry profits over consumer protection and thus the SCENIHR Report should not even be considered science.

Skirting the Issue:

Those involved in **BioInitiative 2012** also criticized the SCHENIR report –

SCHENIR has not answered the question it was appointed to investigate. The Committee has answered a different question, limiting its conclusions to whether certainty or causal effect is established, instead of possibility of health risks.

Underplaying Evidence:

According to a lodged complaint – *Complaint SCENIHR opinion on health effects from electromagnetic fields 2015 Annex 1* – SCENIHR uses biased expertise, as nine of the ten selected experts by one committee chair were previously involved with standards committees that have repeatedly underplayed evidence that pointed to health effects – notably ICNIRP, WHO-EMF Project, and the UK's AGNIR. This complaint lists experts involved in the report, and discloses their conflicts of interest.

EU Citizens – WELCOME TO THE 5G HUMAN TRIALS

SCENIHR cleared the way for the 5G technical and human trials to begin.

It seems obvious that they avoid doing further research **before** deployment of 5G trials, because governments and industry know they will fail. After all, the previous generations have failed to protect humans and ecosystems from harm – there is ample evidence proving this REALITY.

Everything was right on track

The 5G Appeal was rejected:


In the letter from the European Commission, responding to complaints about its rejection to the 5G Appeal, they write:

The recourse to the EU's Precautionary Principle to stop the distribution of 5G products appears too drastic a measure. We first need to see how this new technology will be applied and how the scientific evidence will evolve.

Welcome to the 5G human trials!

The Commission will keep abreast of future developments in view of safeguarding the health of the European citizens at the highest level possible and in line with its mandate.

Are their fingers crossed that illnesses won't spike? Will they continue to maintain control by keeping a spin on the information? How blatant do THEY need to be that it's all a **HUMAN TRIAL**? Vodafone and the University-Industry-Group (Germany, also ICNIRP's base) have even dubbed their activities with 5G as **5G LABS**.



Source: 5GCity

Proverbs 11:9 An hypocrite with [his] mouth destroyeth his neighbour: but through Knowledge shall the just be delivered.
